

Peer Review Program

American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

Administered by

The Ohio Society of CPAs

October 27, 2005

Chris S. Costin, CPA
Costin + Company
35945 Center Ridge R #202
North Ridgeville, OH 44039-3070


Dear Mr. Costin:

It is my pleasure to notify you that on October 27, 2005 the Ohio Peer Review Acceptance Committee accepted the report on the most recent peer review of your firm. The due date for your next review is November 30, 2008. This is the date by which all review documents should be completed and submitted to the administering entity.

As you know, the reviewer's opinion was unmodified. The Committee asked me to convey its congratulations to the firm.

A copy of this letter is required to be submitted with your firm's registration renewal information to the Accountancy Board of Ohio.

Sincerely,



Joseph R. Weaver, CPA
Chair, Peer Review
Acceptance Committee

cc: Jeffrey H Tucker, CPA

Firm Number: 10090754

Review Number: 220415

Rea & Associates, Inc.

ACCOUNTANTS AND BUSINESS CONSULTANTS

122 Fourth Street NW, PO Box 1020
New Philadelphia, OH 44663-5120
PH 330-339-6651
FAX 330-339-4837
www.reacpa.com

We're Ready For Your Future

September 22, 2005

To the Partners
Costin + Company

We have reviewed the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Costin + Company (the firm) in effect for the year ended May 31, 2005. A system of quality control encompasses the firm's organizational structure, the policies adopted and procedures established to provide it with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards. The elements of quality control are described in the Statements on Quality Control Standards issued by the American Institute of CPAs (AICPA). The firm is responsible for designing a system of quality control and complying with it to provide the firm reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards in all material respects. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the design of the system of quality control and the firm's compliance with its system of quality control based on our review.

Our review was conducted in accordance with standards established by the Peer Review Board of the AICPA. During our review, we read required representations from the firm, interviewed firm personnel and obtained an understanding of the nature of the firm's accounting and auditing practice, and the design of the firm's system of quality control sufficient to assess the risks implicit in its practice. Based on our assessments, we selected engagements and administrative files to test for conformity with professional standards and compliance with the firm's system of quality control. The engagements selected represented a reasonable cross-section of the firm's accounting and auditing practice with emphasis on higher-risk engagements. The engagements selected included among others engagements performed under *Government Auditing Standards*. Prior to concluding the review, we reassessed the adequacy of the scope of the peer review procedures and met with firm management to discuss the results of our review. We believe that the procedures we performed provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In performing our review, we obtained an understanding of the system of quality control for the firm's accounting and auditing practice. In addition, we tested compliance with the firm's quality control policies and procedures to the extent we considered appropriate. These tests covered the application of the firm's policies and procedures on selected engagements. Our review was based on selected tests therefore it would not necessarily detect all weaknesses in the system of quality control or all instances of noncompliance with it. There are inherent limitations in the effectiveness of any system of quality control and therefore noncompliance with the system of quality control may occur and not be detected. Projection of any evaluation of a system of quality control to future periods is subject to the risk that the system of quality control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or because the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Costin + Company
September 22, 2005
Page 2

In our opinion, the system of quality control for the accounting and auditing practice of Costin + Company in effect for the year ended May 31, 2005, has been designed to meet the requirements of the quality control standards for an accounting and auditing practice established by the AICPA and was complied with during the year then ended to provide the firm with reasonable assurance of conforming with professional standards.

Rea & Associates, Inc.